UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/904,182	07/11/2001	· Albert C. Lardo	56245	1162		
21874 EDWARDS A	7590 07/31/200 NGELL PALMER & D		EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 558'		SHAY, DAVID M				
BOSTON, MA	. 02203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3735				
				•		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/31/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Advisory Action	09/904,182	LARDO ET AL.	LARDO ET AL.	
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<u></u>	
		david shay	3735		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address		
ГНЕ	REPLY FILED July 12, 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.		
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendr lotice of Appeal (with appeal nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The	nent, affidavit, or other evidence, v fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4	which 1.31; or (3)	
•	The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing da	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and forth in the final rejection, whichever	orio letor lu	
D)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			er is later. In	
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	, ,	HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED	WITHIN	
nave unde set fo may i	isions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ear 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the orth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lata reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(a) ICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding e shortened statutory period for er than three months after the n	amount of the fee. The appropriate e reply originally set in the final Office ac	extension fee ction; or (2) as	
<u>AME</u>	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file NDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 d within the time period set	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the apport forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	peal. Since	
,. <u></u>	(a) They raise new issues that would require further of				
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be	• •			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or			ssues for	
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	• •	nally rejected claims.		
4 [NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Non-Compliant Amendment (PTC)I -324)	
7. L 5. [Tron compliant / monament (1 Te	/L QL4/.	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s).	•	parate, timely filed amendment ca	anceling the	
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment (s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 61-67 and 69-84. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE) 🛛 will be entered and an expla	nation of	
•	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of f and sufficient reasons why th	ling a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be e affidavit or other evidence is ned	entered cessary and	
∋. [_	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections und	er appeal and/or appellant fails to	iot be provide a	

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

13.

☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 13. Other: Amended claims and added claim are still rendered obvious by the rejections including Leone. Arguments that the basic priciples of operation of Motamediet all are changed by combnation wither Swanson et all are not convincing, as the basic priciple of operation of Motamedi et all is simply, among other things, to apply a photodynamic chemical and activate it by light. Providing a fiber within a balloon does not violate this basic operting principle, but is merely represents a situation where "there is a design need or market pressure to solve a problem and there are a finite number of identified predictable solutions, a person having ordinary skill has good reason to persure the known options within his or her technical grasp." KSR International Co. v Teleflex Inc. 82 USPQ2d 1385 (Supreme Court, 2007) in this case, applying light within a blood vessel, rather than the trabecular tissue of the myocardium, warrents protecting the fiber from the blood, in order to prevent damage to the fiber, by the adhesion of blood components thereto; the generation of thrombi due to the blood encountering the higher intensity of light exiting the fiber surface, and to displace intervening blood, so as to require a lower intensity of light to activate the photosensitizer, official notice of which is hereby taken.